



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>  
Office: (317) 232-2701  
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

PETITION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS )  
FOR UTILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF )  
PUBLIC UTILITIES OF THE CITY OF )  
INDIANAPOLIS, AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE )  
OF A PUBLIC CHARITABLE TRUST D/B/A/ )  
CITIZENS GAS & COKE UTILITY FOR (1) )  
APPROVAL PURSUANT TO IC 8-1-2.5-1 ET )  
SEQ. OF A NATURAL GAS ALTERNATIVE )  
REGULATORY PLAN RESTRUCTURING )  
CURRENT SERVICE OFFERINGS AND )  
MODIFYING COMPLIANCE WITH IC 8-1.5-3-8,)  
IC 8-1-2-42 AND REQUIREMENTS OF PRIOR )  
COMMISSION ORDERS; (2) THE )  
DECLINATION OF JURISDICTION )  
NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT SUCH A PLAN; )  
AND (3) A FINDING THAT CERTAIN )  
EMPLOYEE BENEFIT AND OTHER COSTS )  
ARE ALLOWABLE FOR RATEMAKING )  
PURPOSES AND THAT THE REQUESTED )  
ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN WILL )  
ALLOW SUCH COSTS TO BE RECOVERED )  
IN RATES )

CAUSE NO. 41605

FILED

MAY 30 2003

INDIANA UTILITY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

By Order dated December 11, 2002 the Commission approved the Settlement Agreement between Citizens Gas, Citizens Industrial Group and the Office of the Utility Consumer Counselor. By adopting the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Commission's Order established June 1, 2003 as the implementation date for the unbundling program.

The Commission's Order also indicated that Citizens would subsequently make a compliance tariff filing. Order at 5. Citizens made a Compliance Filing with the Commission's Gas/Water/Sewer Division on May 15, 2003.

On May 29, 2003, the Citizens Industrial Group filed an Objection to Citizens Gas & Coke Utility's Compliance, which Objection appears in the following words and figures to wit:

[H.I.]


The Objection stated that the Industrial Group has concerns regarding two items contained in the filing. The Industrial Group indicated that it did not want to delay the implementation of the entire unbundling program, that it needed additional time to review the Compliance filing, and requested that the Commission instruct the technical staff to approve Citizens' Compliance Filing, but make the riders interim, subject to refund, pending conclusion of the Commission's consideration of the Objection. The Industrial Group further requested that the Commission schedule an attorney's conference to discuss a procedural schedule for consideration of the Objection.

Counsel for Citizens and the Industrial Group notified the presiding judge that Citizens had no objection to the issuance of this entry.


The presiding officers, having reviewed the information contained in the Objection, find that the procedure proposed by the Industrial Group for consideration of the Objection should be implemented.


An attorney's conference will be scheduled and the parties shall be subsequently notified of the date and time.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
Judith G. Ripley, Commissioner

  
Abby R. Gray, Administrative Law Judge

  
Date

  
Nancy E. Manley  
Secretary to the Commission